

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/798,914	BILLAU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard Pantoliano Jr	2194	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ____

 (1) Richard Pantoliano Jr.

(3) ____

 (2) Derek P. Martin (Reg. No. 36,595).

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 3 January 2008
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 5

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 WILLIAM THOMSON
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Martin on behalf of Mr. William Thomson, Supervisory Patent Examiner for art unit 2194, to inform him that, upon review of arguments in after final amendment submitted 05 December 2007 and further searching, incorporating the limitations of Claims 4 and 5 into the independent claims, as necessary, would place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Martin agreed to the proposal and authorized examiner to enter those changes via examiner's amendment.